UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,708	07/22/2003	Richard Brussel	017399-0211	4928	
	7590 01/08/2007 LARDNER LLP	•	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	er ana		NICHOLSON III, LESLIE AUGUST		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3651		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/623,708	BRUSSEL, RICHARD	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Leslie A. Nicholson III	3651	

	Leslie A. Nicholson III	3651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 19 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evide in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of excurder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR tension and the corresponding amo	THE FIRST REPLY WAS F 1.136(a) and the appropria ant of the fee. The appropri	TILED WITHIN ate extension fee riate extension fee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in begappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially	•	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		O 1° 4 A 4 4	(DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		to timely filed amondm	ont cancoling the
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be efficied and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bestern a constitution of Elicano	. Aladina of A	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affi	davit or other evidence	s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	all.	
	GE SUPERVIS	NE O. PRAWFORD ORY PATENT EXAM	NER
	(<i>f</i>	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added claim limitations require further consideration and/or search.